



SORTINO RATIOS OF CANADIAN FARMLAND

ABSTRACT:

A review of the Canadian farmland investment market over the last 30 years reveals: a farmland holding would have generated sortino ratios substantially above the ratio generated by S&P 500 over the same period.

KEYWORDS:

Canadian farmland, Alberta farmland, Saskatchewan farmland, sortino ratio.

All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

COPYRIGHT 2020



INTRODUCTION:

Sharpe ratios for Canadian farmland over the last 30 years were substantially higher than S&P 500 however, one limitation of the Sharpe ratio is that it is based on total deviation and accordingly penalizes return for upside deviations which are beneficial for investors. Accordingly, measuring Sortino ratios for Canadian farmland provides a better indication for investors. A review of the market over the last 30 years reveals that a Canadian farmland holding would have generated Sortino ratios substantially above one for a return threshold of 0%. We used three farmland portfolio configurations, average Canadian farmland, average Saskatchewan farmland and average Alberta farmland.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS:

Before explaining the consequence of these results let's start with an overview of the principle of the Sortino ratio as different from its more well-known sibling the Sharpe ratio. The Sortino ratio variation of the Sharpe ratio only factors in the downside, or negative volatility, rather than the total volatility used in calculating the Sharpe ratio. The theory behind the Sortino variation is that upside volatility is a plus for the investment, and it, therefore, should not be included in the risk calculation. Therefore, the Sortino ratio takes upside volatility out of the equation and uses only the downside standard deviation in its calculation instead of the total standard deviation that is used in calculating the Sharpe ratio.

Our data shows that farmland in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Canada (based on the last 30 years) can be reasonably expected to produce positive returns – i.e. it is a high-quality investment. Why do you care? You can put farmland into a portfolio and have a high likelihood of achieving portfolio targets and a low likelihood of underperformance. As a matter of fact over the last 30 years Canadian farmland in general witnessed only three down years whereas over the same period S&P 500 had nine down years.

NOTES:

The data used to derive the data series in this paper come from the Historic Farmland Values Report published by Farm Credit Canada.

SOURCE DATA AND ANALYSIS:

Year	Canada	SK	AB	S&P
1989	4.9%	0.4%	5.7%	27%
1990	-2.7%	-6.9%	-1.1%	-3%
1991	-5.4%	-9.1%	-4.6%	21%
1992	-2.1%	-3.8%	-3.2%	5%
1993	2.0%	1.6%	1.9%	10%
1994	8.5%	9.5%	10.1%	-2%
1995	10.0%	11.9%	9.6%	35%
1996	11.3%	11.0%	9.5%	24%
1997	8.0%	5.5%	7.8%	25%
1998	2.7%	0.5%	5.1%	31%
1999	0.2%	-4.8%	5.7%	9%
2000	1.5%	-2.2%	4.3%	-2%
2001	1.4%	-1.5%	4.2%	-17%
2002	5.3%	3.9%	6.4%	-22%
2003	3.8%	3.1%	4.2%	29%
2004	4.6%	1.9%	9.0%	4%
2005	3.1%	1.3%	6.1%	8%
2006	4.7%	2.1%	8.9%	12%
2007	11.6%	11.0%	17.4%	-4%
2008	11.7%	14.9%	9.1%	-40%
2009	6.6%	6.9%	4.8%	30%
2010	5.2%	5.7%	4.4%	20%
2011	14.8%	22.9%	8.7%	2%
2012	19.5%	19.7%	13.3%	14%
2013	22.1%	28.5%	12.9%	23%
2014	14.3%	18.7%	8.8%	11%
2015	10.1%	9.4%	11.6%	0%
2016	7.9%	7.5%	9.5%	9%
2017	8.4%	10.2%	7.3%	19%
2018	6.6%	7.4%	7.4%	-5%
Mean	6.7%	6.2%	6.8%	9.1%
St. Dev	6.2%	8.8%	4.6%	17.0%
Sharpe	0.60	0.37	0.83	0.36
Downside Dev.	1.2%	2.4%	1.0%	9.0%
Sortino Ratio	3.16	1.34	3.67	0.67

COPYRIGHT 2020 2



CONCLUSION:

Farmland in Alberta, Saskatchewan and Canada (based on the last 30 years) can be reasonably expected to meet or exceed portfolio expectations.

DISCLAIMER

Our reports, including this paper, express our opinions which have been based, in part, upon generally available public information and research as well as upon inferences and deductions made through our due diligence, research and analytical process.

The information contained in this paper includes information from, or data derived from, public third party sources including industry publications, reports and research papers. Although this third-party information and data is believed to be reliable, neither Veripath Partners nor it agents (collectively "Veripath") have independently verified the accuracy, currency or completeness of any of the information and data contained in this paper which is derived from such third party sources and, therefore, there is no assurance or guarantee as to the accuracy or completeness of such included information and data. Veripath and its agents hereby disclaim any liability whatsoever in respect of any third party information or data.

While we have a good-faith belief in the accuracy of what we write, all such information is presented "as is," without warranty of any kind, whether express or implied. The use made of the information and conclusions set forth in this paper is solely at the risk of the user of this information. This paper is intended only as general information presented for the convenience of the reader, and should not in any way be construed as investment or other advice whatsoever. Veripath is not registered as an investment dealer or advisor in any jurisdiction and this report does not represent investment advice of any kind. The reader should seek the advice of relevant professionals (including a registered investment professional) before making any investment decisions.

The opinions and views expressed in this paper are subject to change or modification without notice, and Veripath does not undertake to update or supplement this or any other of its reports or papers as a result of a change in opinion stated herein or otherwise.

COPYRIGHT 2020 3